

Investigation of Prospective Teachers' Attitudes towards Classroom as a Learning Environment

Filiz Çetin¹, Engin Demir², A. Selcen Bingöl³

Article History:

Received 25.07.2022

Received in revised form

03.10.2022

Accepted

Available online

04.10.2022

In this study, the attitudes of teacher candidates towards the classroom as a learning environment was aimed to be examined. In order to determine the attitudes of teacher candidates towards the classroom as a learning environment, survey methods was used. The participants of this research consisted freshman and final year studying at the education faculty of a state university. The convenience sampling method was used while selecting the participants in the study. Accordingly, data were collected from 348 prospective teachers. The data of the research was obtained via the "Attitude Scale towards Classroom as a Learning Environment". The data was analyzed with non-parametric tests. As a result of the research, it was determined that prospective teachers' attitude scores towards the classroom as a learning environment were at a moderate level. Attitudes of female prospective teachers towards the classroom as a learning environment are more positive than those of males. Women find class less boring. The students of the fine arts education department see the classroom as indispensable in terms of the learning environment, in contrast to the students of the mathematics and science education department and the special education department. Students with a medium level perception of academic success have more negative attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment than those with a good perception of academic success. Prospective teachers who are not satisfied with their department consider the classroom an indispensable learning environment compared to those who are partially satisfied. In light of the findings, it was suggested that classroom environments in education faculties should be improved in terms of classroom learning environment dimensions to help prospective teachers to develop positive attitudes.

© IJERE. All rights reserved

Keywords: Attitude, learning environment, attitude towards classroom, classroom learning environment.

INTRODUCTION

Learning is a versatile and natural process that starts with the birth of the individual and continues throughout his/her life. Many factors affect learning. Among these factors, learning environments have an important place. From a general point of view, every environment where learning takes place can be defined as a learning environment. This environment can sometimes be the close environment of the individual, a school, and sometimes even a virtual environment. In this sense, the learning environment is a variable that affects the feelings and thoughts of the individual, his/her willingness level positively or negatively, and provides suitable learning opportunities for the individual's development level (Dönmez, 2008).

In the literature, there are various definitions of different thinkers regarding the features that should be found in learning environments. The common point in the definitions is that learning environments should be in the form of comfortable physical environments where students feel comfortable, find peace, are free, experience the feeling of encouragement, and encourage thinking. It is a common thought that such environments will contribute to permanent learning, social learning, and make learning more enjoyable (Kline, 2002; Yıldırım, 2020).

¹ Gazi University, ficetin@gazi.edu.tr, orcid.org/0000-0002-6806-0160

² Bandırma Onyedi Eylül University, edemirakademik@gmail.com, orcid.org/0000-0002-6280-5467

³ Gazi University, asbingol@gazi.edu.tr, orcid.org/0000-0002-6262-9037

Although the definition and perspective of the learning environment have been changing rapidly in our age when the ways of accessing information are differentiated, enriched, and in this sense has been easy, classrooms are usually the first to come to mind when a formal learning environment is mentioned. Most of the educational activities carried out to create behavior are carried out through schools, which are private circles established for educational purposes, and thus classrooms (Bursalioğlu 1991: 33). The classroom is a place where students' academic understanding and social relations develop, and in this sense, multi-faceted learning takes place. The classroom environment is one of the most important factors affecting not only learning but also students' attitudes, personalities, motivations, and speed of learning (Açıköz, 1989).

The learning environment and the individuals in that environment are in direct relationship with each other and it is not possible to evaluate them separately. The classroom environment embodies the physical environment, psychological environment, and various teaching components. The quality of the classroom environment affects students' self-image, confidence, learning, and attitudes towards the subjects (Daemi, M. N., Tahriri, A. & Zafarghandi, A. M. 2017).

The results of studies conducted on the subject revealed that the quality of the classroom environment in schools is an important determinant of student learning (Fraser, 1994). Perception of the classroom environment is a general feeling shared by everyone in the classroom (Dorman, Aldridge, & Fraser, 2006). Studies have shown that the learning environment is a determining factor in what students feel (Jones & Jones, 1995). Students' attitudes towards the classroom environment affect their participation, self-efficacy, learning motivation, and academic achievement (Fauth, Decristan, Rieser, Klieme & Büttner, 2014; Friedel, Cortina, Turner & Midgley, 2010; Wang & Holcombe, 2010). On the other hand, many studies have shown that there is a strong relationship between student achievements (especially affective achievements) and students' attitudes and thoughts about the classroom environment (Köse & Küçükoğlu, 2009).

Attitude is "a relatively persistent organization of beliefs, emotions, and behavioral dispositions toward socially significant objects, groups, events, or symbols." (Hogg & Vaughan, 2005). Emotions can be defined as affective reactions (Russell & Barrett, 1999). Emotions can be formed as positive and negative. The distinction between positive and negative emotions is that: the often experienced positive emotions create; pleasure, pride, hope, and relief; whereas negative ones develop anger, anxiety, boredom, hopelessness, and shame (Pekrun, Goetz, Titz & Perry, 2002). Positive emotions act as a protective factor against stress and intrusive thoughts arising from negative experiences such as failure (Erez & Isen, 2002). The formation of positive feelings about the learning environment will create and maintain positive motivation.

Without any positive attitudes and perceptions, students have no chance to learn competently at all. There are two categories of attitudes and perceptions that affect learning: (1) attitudes and perceptions about the learning climate, and (2) attitudes and perceptions about classroom tasks. It is the duty of effective teachers to constantly strengthen attitudes and perceptions in both categories (Marzano, 1992).

Positive, productive learning environments are key to students' academic, emotional and social success at school. Unfortunately, positive learning environments do not just happen by themselves, they need to be created and formed. There are many components for creating a positive learning environment for students. Positive learning environments should provide a safe environment where risk-taking is encouraged, open authentic conversations are present, trust and respect are encouraged, and positive interaction is the norm (Becton, 2021).

Examining students' attitudes towards the classroom environment will reveal their effects as well as provide important data for teachers as feedback on the classroom environment (Fraser, 1998a; Rivard, 1994). In this context, it was aimed to examine the attitudes of prospective teachers towards the classroom as a learning environment. In accordance with this aim, answers to the following questions were sought;

Q1. What is the level of prospective teachers' attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment?

Q2. Do the attitudes of prospective teachers towards the classroom as a learning environment show a significant difference according to gender, grade level, department, perception of academic success, and perception of the department?

METHOD

In this study, a survey method from descriptive research methods was used to determine the attitudes of prospective teachers towards the classroom as a learning environment. Descriptive research is concerned

with what something is rather than how or why, and the purpose of this research is to describe a phenomenon and its properties. In this respect; observation forms, attitude scales, standardized tests, and survey tools are generally used to collect data (Gall, Gall & Borg, 2003). In the survey method, which is frequently used in the field of education, data is collected from a large number of people in order to reveal certain characteristics of a group (Fraenkel, Wallen & Hyu, 2012).

The Study Group

Participants of this research consisted of 1st grade (freshman) and 4th grade students (Final Year) studying at the education faculty of a state university. The convenience sampling method was used while selecting the participants in the study. The convenience sampling method is a method in which easy to reach sample group due to limitations such as time is selected (Büyüköztürk et al., 2012). In the convenient sampling method, the researcher collects data starting from the people who can be reached, until reaching the desired number (Büyüköztürk et al., 2017; Cohen, Manion & Morrison, 2007).

Research data were collected face to face in the 2021-2022 semester. Non-volunteer students were not given a questionnaire. In this respect, data was obtained from 348 prospective teachers. As were not filled out properly 45 questionnaires were not included in the study. Data obtained from 303 prospective teachers were used in the study. The demographic qualities of the prospective teachers are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Demographic Qualities of the Prospective Teachers

Variable		Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Female	214	70,6
	Male	89	29,4
Grade	First Grade (Freshman)	176	58,1
	Fourth Grade (Final Year)	127	41,9
Department	Department of Mathematics and Science Education	46	15,2
	Department of Turkish and Social Sciences Department	41	13,5
	Department of Basic Education	40	13,2
	Department of Foreign Languages Education	33	10,9
	Department of Fine Arts Education	30	9,9
	Department of Computer and Instructional Technologies Department	44	14,5
	Department of Special Education	69	22,8
Academic Success Perception	Very good	92	30,4
	Good	139	45,9
	Medium	72	23,8
Department Satisfaction Perception	I am satisfied	219	72,3
	I am partially satisfied	46	15,2
	I am not satisfied	38	12,5

According to Table 1, the ratio of female students is 70.6% whereas the male students are 29.4%. It could be stated that a great majority of the prospective teachers are female. According to the grade level, the ratio of 4th grade students is 47% whereas first grade students are 58.1%. When the distribution of the students according to their departments is examined, it is seen that the special education department has the highest participation with 22.8%. 30.4% of the students perceive their academic success as very good, 45.9% as good, and 23.8% as a medium. The majority of the students (72.3%) stated that they were satisfied with their departments.

Data Collection Tools

In this study, five points Likert scale “Attitude Scale Towards Classroom as a Learning Environment” developed by Çetin, Demirkan, and Çetin (2020) with the students of the faculty of education in the age range of 15-25, was used (“Totally Agree”, “Substantially Agree”, “Partially Agree”, “Disagree”, “Totally Disagree”).

Researchers obtained a scale consisting of 32 items and 4 sub-dimensions as a result of Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA). The scale consisted of these sub-factors respectively: “Classroom as a boring learning

environment", "Classroom as a positive learning environment", "Classroom as a peaceful learning environment", and "Classroom as necessary learning environment". It is observed that this four-factor structure making up the scale explained 62.49% of the total variance of the scale. According to the results of the reliability analysis of the scale, Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient for the overall scale was determined as .96, and for the other sub-factors in the scale as .95, .90, .88, and .84, respectively. As a result of the confirmatory factor analysis performed after the exploratory factor analysis, the fit values of the model; were found as RMSEA, .066; $\chi^2/df=2.1$; SRMR=.05; IFI=.91; CFI=.91.

Within the scope of this research, in the data obtained from the prospective teachers, the Cronbach alpha coefficient of the overall scale was found as .956; with the scale sub-dimensions respectively as .94, .89, .87, and .78. As a result, taken into consideration the criterion that reliability coefficient of .70 and above is considered reliable it can be said that the reliability coefficients are sufficient (Field, 2009; Fraenkel et al., 2012).

Data Analysis

The data of the research was analyzed via SPSS 22 program. Attitude levels of prospective teachers were defined by mean, frequency, and standard deviation descriptive statistics. According to the Kolmogorov Smirnov test results obtained from the general and sub-dimensions of the attitude scale towards the classroom as a learning environment according to gender, class, department, perception of academic achievement, and perception of department satisfaction variables the data do not show normal distribution. The Kolmogorov Smirnov test results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Results of the Overall Scale and Sub-Dimensions

Scales	Statistic	sd	p
Classroom as a boring learning environment	,088	303	,000
Classroom as a positive learning environment	,071	303	,001
Classroom as a peaceful learning environment	,118	303	,000
Classroom as a necessary learning environment	,105	303	,000
Overall Scale	,079	303	,000

According to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test results in Table 2, it was determined that the distribution did not show a normal distribution as it was $p < .05$ (Büyüköztürk, 2013). The Kolmogorov Smirnov test tends to reject the assumption of normal distribution of the data when the sample grows (Pallant, 2016) and this situation may lead to type 1 error (Uysal & Kılıç, 2022). Therefore, each sub-factor and the overall scale were also examined according to the skewness coefficient/standard error rate according to the independent variable groups (Uysal & Kılıç, 2022). This ratio was evaluated according to whether it was between +1.96 and -1.96 (Field, 2009). The obtained values revealed that the data did not show normal distribution. For this reason, non-parametric tests were used in the analysis. Confidence Interval was determined as 95% and the significance as $p = .05$. In the descriptive statistics, the comments were made according to the five-interval categories. After the Kruskal Wallis H test, in order to determine which groups caused the significant differences were determined by pairwise comparisons using the Dunn-Bonferroni approach in the SPSS program.

FINDINGS

In this part of the study, the findings are presented relatively within the framework of sub-problems. The findings of the descriptive statistics of the scores obtained from the general and sub-dimensions of the prospective teachers' attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment are given in Table 3.

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics of Prospective Teachers' Scores on the "Attitude Scale towards Classroom as a Learning Environment"

Scale Sub-Dimensions and Overall Scale	n	\bar{X}	s	
Classroom as a boring learning environment	303	3,42	,91	I largely agree
Classroom as a positive learning environment	303	3,31	,72	I moderately agree
Classroom as a peaceful learning environment	303	2,54	,84	I disagree
Classroom as a necessary learning environment	303	2,83	,85	I moderately agree
Overall scale	303	3,18	,72	I moderately agree

In Table 3, it is seen that prospective teachers stated that they substantially agreed with the dimension of "Classroom as a boring learning environment" when the average scores of the prospective teachers'

attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment were examined based on factors. Since all of the items in this dimension are negative (items are reversed), prospective teachers do not find the classroom to be a boring learning environment. Prospective teachers' attitudes towards "class as a positive learning environment" and "classroom as a necessary learning environment" are at a moderate level. The attitudes of the students towards the "classroom as a peaceful learning environment" are at the level of disagreeing, that is, negative. In general, their attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment are at a moderate level.

A) According to gender variable;

Table 4. Mann-Whitney U-Test Results of Prospective Teachers' "Attitude Scale towards Classroom as a Learning Environment" Scores by Gender

		N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	U	p
Classroom as a boring learning environment	Female	214	165,54	35424,50	6626,500	,000
	Male	89	119,46	10631,50		
Classroom as a positive learning environment	Female	214	156,62	33516,50	8534,500	,154
	Male	89	140,89	12539,50		
Classroom as a peaceful learning environment	Female	214	157,23	33647,00	8404,000	,106
	Male	89	139,43	12409,00		
Classroom as a necessary learning environment	Female	214	149,64	32022,00	9017,000	,464
	Male	89	157,69	14034,00		
Overall Scale	Female	214	162,39	34752,50	7298,500	,001
	Male	89	127,01	11303,50		

When Table 4 is examined, according to the Mann Whitney-U test results, according to the gender of the prospective teachers, in the sub-factor of the classroom as a boring learning environment ($U=6626,500$, $p=,000$) and the overall scale ($U=7298,500$, $p=,001$) there is a meaningful difference while there is no significant difference in other dimensions. It can be said that female students find the classroom less boring than male students. Female students' attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment are more positive than males.

B) According to grade variable;

Table 5. Mann Whitney U-Test Results of Prospective Teachers' "Attitude Scale towards Classroom as a Learning Environment" Scores by Grade

		N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	U	p
Classroom as a boring learning environment	First Grade (Freshman)	176	158,34	27867,50	10060,500	,138
	Fourth Grade (Final Year)	127	143,22	18188,50		
Classroom as a positive learning environment	First Grade (Freshman)	176	154,05	27113,50	10814,500	,630
	Fourth Grade (Final Year)	127	149,15	18942,50		
Classroom as a peaceful learning environment	First Grade (Freshman)	176	152,05	26761,50	11166,500	,990
	Fourth Grade (Final Year)	127	151,93	19294,50		
Classroom as a necessary learning environment	First Grade (Freshman)	176	152,31	26806,00	11122,000	,942
	Fourth Grade (Final Year)	127	151,57	19250,00		
Overall Scale	First Grade (Freshman)	176	156,43	27531,00	10397,000	,300
	Fourth Grade (Final Year)	127	145,87	18525,00		

When Table 5 is examined, according to the results of the Mann Whitney-U test, no significant difference was observed in the scale sub-dimensions and overall scale according to the grade level of the prospective teachers. There is no significant difference between the scores of the first (freshman) and fourth grade (final grade) students' attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment.

C) According to the department variable,

Table 6. Kruskal Wallis Test Results of Prospective Teachers' "Attitude Scale towards Classroom as a Learning Environment" Scores According to Department Variable

		N	Mean rank	X ²	sd	p	Intergroup difference
Classroom as a boring learning environment	1. Department of Mathematics and Science Education	46	153,48	5,586	6	,471	-
	2. Department of Turkish and Social Sciences Department	41	179,24				
	3. Department of Basic Education	40	152,98				
	4. Department of Foreign Languages Education	33	142,24				
	5. Department of Fine Arts Education	30	141,23				
	6. Department of Computer and Instructional Technologies Department	44	140,80				
	7. Department of Special Education	69	150,75				
Classroom as a positive learning environment	1. Department of Mathematics and Science Education	46	145,68	11,926	6	,064	-
	2. Department of Turkish and Social Sciences Department	41	149,40				
	3. Department of Basic Education	40	144,75				
	4. Department of Foreign Languages Education	33	139,56				
	5. Department of Fine Arts Education	30	198,00				
	6. Department of Computer and Instructional Technologies Department	44	165,22				
	7. Department of Special Education	69	139,48				
Classroom as a peaceful learning environment	1. Department of Mathematics and Science Education	46	143,43	8,416	6	,209	-
	2. Department of Turkish and Social Sciences Department	41	159,79				
	3. Department of Basic Education	40	144,84				
	4. Department of Foreign Languages Education	33	131,06				
	5. Department of Fine Arts Education	30	174,07				
	6. Department of Computer and Instructional Technologies Department	44	174,36				
	7. Department of Special Education	69	143,39				
Classroom as a necessary learning environment	1. Department of Mathematics and Science Education	46	136,57	17,023	6	,009	5-1
	2. Department of Turkish and Social Sciences Department	41	135,82				5-2
	3. Department of Basic Education	40	146,09				5-7
	4. Department of Foreign Languages Education	33	168,42				
	5. Department of Fine Arts Education	30	203,07				
	6. Department of Computer and Instructional Technologies Department	44	163,25				
	7. Department of Special Education	69	138,10				
Overall Scale	1. Department of Mathematics and Science Education	46	145,87	3,691	6	,718	
	2. Department of Turkish and Social Sciences Department	41	167,05				
	3. Department of Basic Education	40	149,16				
	4. Department of Foreign Languages Education	33	139,74				
	5. Department of Fine Arts Education	30	169,83				
	6. Department of Computer and Instructional Technologies Department	44	153,27				
	7. Department of Special Education	69	146,09				

When Table 6 is examined, a significant difference was found in the sub-dimension of "classroom as a necessary learning environment" according to the department variable of the prospective teachers ($X^2=14.763$, $p=.009$). After the Kruskal Wallis H test, in order to determine which groups caused the significant differences, pairwise comparisons using the Dunn-Bonferroni approach in the SPSS program was used. Fine Arts Education Department students have higher attitude scores towards the classroom as a necessary learning environment compared to mathematics and science education and special education department students. That is to say, the students of the fine arts education department see the classroom as a necessary learning environment compared to the students of the mathematics and science education department and the special education department.

D) According to academic achievement perception,

Table 7. Kruskal Wallis Test Results of Prospective Teachers' "Attitude Scale towards Classroom as a Learning Environment" Scores According to Academic Achievement Perception Variable

		N	Mean Rank	X ²	sd	p	Intergroup Difference
Classroom as a boring learning environment	1. Very good	92	168,52	12,217	2	,002	3-1
	2. Good	139	156,69				3-2
	3. Medium	72	121,84				
Classroom as a positive learning environment	1. Very good	92	153,74	2,115	2	,347	
	2. Good	139	157,47				
	3. Medium	72	139,22				
Classroom as a peaceful learning environment	1. Very good	92	159,15	5,979	2	,05	-
	2. Good	139	158,64				
	3. Medium	72	130,04				
Classroom as a necessary learning environment	1. Very good	92	151,04	2,207	2	,332	
	2. Good	139	146,03				
	3. Medium	72	164,75				
Overall scale	1. Very good	92	163,53	7,594	2	,022	3-2
	2. Good	139	156,97				
	3. Medium	72	127,67				

When Table 7 is examined, a significant difference was found in the sub-dimension of "Classroom as a boring learning environment" ($X^2=12.217$, $p=.002$) and the overall scale ($X^2=12.217$, $p=.002$) according to the variable of perception of academic achievement of teacher candidates when Table 7 is examined. After the Kruskal Wallis H test, the groups that caused the significant differences were determined by pairwise comparisons using the Dunn-Bonferroni approach in the SPSS program. Students who perceive their academic success as a medium see the classroom as a more boring learning environment than students who perceive their academic success as good or very good. Students with a medium perception of academic success have more negative attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment than those with a good perception of academic success.

E) According to department satisfaction perception

Table 8. Kruskal-Wallis Test Results of Prospective Teachers' "Attitude Scale towards Classroom as a Learning Environment" Scores According to Achievement Perception Variable

		N	Mean Rank	X ²	sd	p	Intergroup difference
Classroom as a boring learning environment	1. I am satisfied	219	174,96	57,459	2	,000	1-3
	2. I am partially satisfied	46	107,46				1-2
	3. I am not satisfied	38	73,58				
Classroom as a positive learning environment	1. I am satisfied	219	168,89	29,773	2	,000	1-3
	2. I am partially satisfied	46	102,99				1-2
	3. I am not satisfied	38	113,97				
Classroom as a peaceful learning environment	1. I am satisfied	219	169,88	36,126	2	,000	1-3
	2. I am partially satisfied	46	120,33				1-2
	3. I am not satisfied	38	87,30				
Classroom as a necessary learning environment	1. I am satisfied	219	155,08	6,467	2	,039	2-3
	2. I am partially satisfied	46	123,75				
	3. I am not satisfied	38	168,43				
Scale overall	1. I am satisfied	219	174,35	52,856	2	,000	1-3
	2. I am partially satisfied	46	104,08				1-2
	3. I am not satisfied	38	81,20				

When Table 8 is examined, a meaningful difference was found in all sub-dimensions and overall scale according to the perception of satisfaction variable with the department of prospective teachers. As a result of pairwise comparisons using the Dunn-Bonferroni approach there was a meaningful difference in favor of those who were satisfied with their department in the sub-dimensions "Classroom as a boring learning environment" ($X^2=57,459$, $p=.000$), "Classroom as a positive learning environment" ($X^2=29,773$, $p=.000$), "Classroom as a peaceful environment" ($X^2=36,126$, $p=.000$) and the overall scale ($X^2=52,856$, $p=.000$). In the sub-dimension of "classroom as a necessary learning environment", a meaningful difference was found in favor of those who were not satisfied with the department ($X^2=6.467$, $p=.000$). In other words, students who are satisfied with their department do not find the classroom boring compared to other students and see the classroom as a peaceful and positive learning environment. In general, the attitudes of the students who are satisfied with the department towards the classroom as a learning environment are more positive than the other students. Students who are not satisfied with their department consider the classroom as a necessary learning environment compared to those who are partially satisfied.

DISCUSSION AND RESULT

The attitudes of students towards the classroom as a learning environment who spend about 20,000 hours in the classroom environment from primary education to higher education and are expected to perform many learnings are of great importance (Fraser, 2001). Such that, students studying in the same class may even have different perceptions of the learning environment (Fraser, Rennie & Tobin, 1990). This situation led to the development of various measurement tools (Fraser, 1998) in order to evaluate students' perceptions towards the classroom as a learning environment. This study, it was aimed to determine the attitudes of prospective teachers towards the classroom as a learning environment who are expected to create a positive learning environment for their students as future teachers. For this purpose, in this study, the "Attitude Scale towards Classroom as a Learning Environment" developed by Çetin, Demirkan & Çetin (2020) was used.

According to the findings of the research, prospective teachers' attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment, in general, are at the level of "I agree at a moderate level", while their attitudes towards the classroom as a peaceful environment are at the level of "I disagree". Fraser (1994) states that learner outcomes are strongly influenced by the learning environment. Therefore, this finding gives the idea that the classroom environment in which prospective teachers study should be improved in terms of an effective learning environment.

In many studies about the classroom environment, it has been determined that there are differences between male and female students (Lim, 1995; Riah & Fraser, 1999; Rickards, Fisher & Fraser, 1997). Similarly, in this study, significant differences emerged between female and male prospective teachers. Attitudes of female prospective teachers towards the classroom as a learning environment are more positive than those of males. Women find class less boring. Waxman and Huang (1998) found out that women's perceptions of the learning environment were generally higher than male students as the result of the study in which they examined students' perceptions of the classroom learning environment.

There is no meaningful difference between the scores of the freshman and final grade students' attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment. It can be thought that the university and internship experiences of prospective teachers will not be effective on their attitudes. Contrary to the findings of this research, Khalil and Saar (2009) found significant differences according to grade level and age, as a result of their research in which they determined student perceptions of the classroom learning environment.

Fine Arts Education Department students have higher attitude scores towards the classroom as a necessary learning environment compared to mathematics and science education and special education department students. The students of the fine arts education department see the classroom as a necessary learning environment in contrast to the students of the mathematics and science education and special education department. This may be due to the fact that the classroom environment of the students of the fine arts department has a more positive classroom atmosphere than in other fields or that they need the classroom more in terms of their own work.

Classrooms are accepted as an important environment for students in order to achieve success (Baek & Choi, 2002). In this study, students with a moderate perception of academic success have more negative attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment than those with a good perception of academic

success. Similarly, students who perceive their academic success as moderate see the classroom as a more boring learning environment than students who perceive their academic success as good or very good. In the related literature, there are studies revealing a positive relationship between the perception of the classroom learning environment and academic achievement (Baek & Choi, 2002), and academic self-efficacy (Dorman & Adams, 2004). Gouri, Mitashree, and Meeta (2005) showed in their study that the classroom environment plays an important role in determining the academic achievement of the student. Similarly, Köse and Küçüköğlü (2009) determined that the classroom learning environment affects student achievement, according to the views of the education faculty students.

Students who are satisfied with their department do not find the classroom boring compared to other students and see the classroom as a peaceful and positive learning environment. Generally, the attitudes of the students who are satisfied with the department towards the classroom as a learning environment are more positive than the other students. Students who are not satisfied with their department consider the classroom as a necessary learning environment compared to those who are partially satisfied.

The realization of educational activities requires great effort and cost. For this reason, the actions to be taken should be planned and take into account the research findings (Toraman & Demir, 2016). Based on the results obtained in this research, it could be recommended that classroom environments in education faculties be improved to help students develop positive attitudes, such as increasing student participation, rearranging physical conditions, student-instructor relationships, etc. The classroom learning environment of fine arts education departments can be analyzed and compared with the classroom learning environment of other departments as they were found to lead to more positive attitudes towards the classroom as a learning environment. Adjustments to be made by determining the expectations of students with low academic success and dissatisfaction with their department from the classroom learning environment can contribute to the increase of students' academic success and satisfaction with the department, as well as to develop attitudes towards the classroom as a positive learning environment.

REFERENCES

- Açıkgöz, K. (1989). *A study on the classroom atmosphere in high schools* [Liselerdeki sınıf atmosferi üzerine bir araştırma]. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Eğitim Bilimleri Sempozyumu, 15-17 June, İnönü University Faculty of Education, (s. 93-111), Malatya.
- Bursalıoğlu, Z. (1991). *Okul yönetiminde yeni yapı ve davranış*. Ankara: Pegem Akademi Pub.
- Baek, S. G., & Choi, H. J. (2002). The relationship between students' perceptions of classroom environment and their academic achievement in Korea. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 3(1), 125-135. Retrieved from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF03024926>.
- Büyüköztürk, Ş. (2013). *Sosyal bilimler için veri analizi el kitabı*. Ankara: Pegem Akademi Pub.
- Becton, L. (2021). *Strategies for Building a Productive and Positive Learning Environment*: Retrieved 4/4/2021 from: <https://www.educationcorner.com/building-a-positive-learning-environment.html>
- Büyüköztürk, Ş., Çakmak, E. K., Akgün, Ö. E., Karadeniz, Ş., & Demirel, F. (2017). *Bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri*. Ankara: Pegem Akademi Pub.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research methods in education*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Cetin, F., Demirkan, O., & Cetin, S. (2020). A validity and reliability study of the scale for attitude towards classroom as a learning environment. *Educational Policy Analysis and Strategic Research*, 15(3), 233-248. <http://dx.doi.org/10.29329/epasr.2020.270.11>
- Dorman, J. & Adams J. (2004). Associations between students' perceptions of classroom environment and academic efficacy in Australian and British secondary schools. *Westminster Studies in Education*, 27(1), 69-85. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0140672040270106>
- Daemi, M. N., Tahriri, A., & Zafarghandi, A. M. (2017). The relationship between classroom environment and EFL learners' academic self-efficacy. *International Journal of Education & Literacy Studies*, 5(4), 16-23. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijels.v.5n.4p.16>
- Dorman, J. P., Aldridge, J. M., & Fraser, B. J. (2006). Using students' assessment of classroom environment to develop a typology of secondary school classrooms. *International Education Journal*, 7(7), 906-915. Retrieved from: <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ854348.pdf>
- Dönmez, B. (2008). School and classroom ergonomics or prioritizing people [Okul ve sınıf ergonomisi ya da insanı öncelemek]. *Eğitime Bakış: Eğitim Öğretim ve Bilim Araştırma Dergisi*, 11, 10-15.

- Erez, A., & Isen, A. M. (2002). The influence of positive affect on the components of expectancy motivation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87, 1055-1067. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.6.1055>
- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS*. Sage Publication.
- Fraenkel, J., Wallen, N., & Hyu, H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education*. Newyork: McGraw-Hill.
- Fauth, B., Decristan, J., Rieser, S., Klieme, E., & Buttner, G. (2014). Student ratings of teaching quality in primary school: Dimensions and prediction of student outcomes. *Learning and Instruction*, 29, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2013.07.001>
- Fraser, B. J. (1998a). *Science learning environments: Assessment, effects and determinants*. In: B. J. Fraser & K. G. Tobin (Eds.), *International handbook of science education*. Boston: Kluwer.
- Fraser, B. J. (1998b). Classroom environment instruments: Development, validity and applications. *Learning Environments Research*, 1, 7–33. Retrieved from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1009932514731>
- Fraser, B. J., Rennie, L. J., & Tobin, K. (1990). The learning environment as a focus in a study of higher-level cognitive learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 12(5), 531-548. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069900120506>
- Fraser, B. J. (1994). *Research on classroom and school climate*, in: D. Gabel (Ed.) *handbook of research on science teaching and learning* (pp. 493-541). New York, Macmillan.
- Friedel, J. M., Cortina, K. S., Turner, J. C., & Midgley, C. (2010). Changes in efficacy beliefs in mathematics across the transition to middle school: Examining the effects of perceived teacher and parent goal emphases. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 102, 101–114. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017590>
- Hogg, M., & Vaughan, G. (2005). *Social Psychology (4th edition)*. London: Prentice-Hall.
- Jones, V. F., & Jones, S. J. (1995). *Comprehensive classroom management: creating positive learning environments for all students* (4th Ed.). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Kline, N. (2002). *Time to think: Listening to ignite the human mind*. Kwinana, WA: Gracwood Business
- Khalil, M., & Saar, V. (2009). The classroom learning environment as perceived by students in Arab elementary schools. *Learning Environments Research* 12, 143–156. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-009-9058-7>
- Köse, E., & Küçükoğlu, A. (2009). Evaluation of class learning environment in faculties of education in terms of some variables. *Journal of Kirsehir Education Faculty*, 10(3), 61-73.
- Lim, T. K. (1995). Perceptions of classroom environment, school types, gender and learning styles of secondary school students. *Educational Psychology*, 15(2), 161-169. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144341950150205>
- Marzano, R. J. (1992). *A different kind of learning: Teaching with dimensions of learning*. Alexandria, VA: Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Gall, M., Gall, J., & Borg, R. (2003). *Educational research and introduction*. Allyn & Bacon,
- Gouri, S., Mitashree, M., & Meeta, J. (2015). Classroom environment as a predictor of academic achievement. *Asian Man (The) - An International Journal*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.5958/0975-6884.2015.00006.7>
- Pallant, J. (2016). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS (6th edition)*. Sydney: Allen & Unwin
- Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Titz, W., & Perry, R. P. (2002). Academic emotions in students' self regulated learning and achievement: A program of qualitative and quantitative research. *Educational Psychologist*, 37, 91-105. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3702_4
- Riah, H., & Fraser, B. J. (1999). *Secondary school students' perceptions of learning environment: Gender Differences*. In M.A. Clements & Leong Yong Pak (Eds.), *Cultural and language aspects of science, mathematics and technical education* (pp. 95-102). Brunei Darussalam: University Brunei Darussalam.
- Rickards, T. W., Fisher, D. L., & Fraser, B. J. (1997, March). *Teacher-student interpersonal behaviour, cultural background and gender in science classes*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching, Chicago, Illinois.
- Rivard, L. P. (1994). A review of writing to learn in science: Implications for practice and research. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31(9), 969-983. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660310910>

- Russell, J. A., & Barrett, L. F. (1999). Core affect, prototypical emotional episodes, and other things called emotion: dissecting the elephant. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 76(5), 805-819. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.76.5.805>
- Waxman, H. C., & Huang, S-Y. L. (1998). Classroom learning environment in urban elementary, middle and high schools. *Learning Environment Research*, 1(1), 95-113. Retrieved from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1009940816549>
- Wang, M., & Holcombe, R. (2010). Adolescents' perceptions of school environment, engagement, and academic achievement in middle school. *American Educational Research Journal*, 47, 633-662. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831209361209>
- Toraman, C., & Demir, E. (2016). The effect of constructivism on attitudes towards lessons: A meta-analysis study. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 62,115-142, <http://dx.doi.org/10.14689/ejer.2016.62.8>
- Uysal, İ., & Kılıç, A. F. (2022). Normal Distribution Dilemma. *Anadolu Journal of Educational Sciences International*, 12(1), 220-248. <https://doi.org/10.18039/ajesi.962653>
- Yıldırım, V. Y. (2020). The adequacy of family, classroom and school learning environments in terms of cognitive, affective and psychomotor acquisitions in the curriculum [Aile, sınıf ve okul öğrenme ortamlarının öğretim programlarında yer alan bilişsel, duyuşsal, psikomotor kazanımlar açısından yeterliği]. *e-International Journal of Educational Research*, 11(1), 1-19. <http://dx.doi.org/10.19160/ijer.666003>